**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

MAR 14 2008

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CARLOS RODRIQUEZ CEJA,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74695

Agency No. A75-097-230

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 10, 2008 \*\*

Before: T.G. NELSON, TASHIMA and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") denial of a motion to reopen removal proceedings.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

07-74695

The motion to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. The Clerk shall amend the docket to reflect this status.

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard). The BIA did not abuse its discretion when it denied petitioner's motion to reopen because petitioner did not present any new evidence in his motion to reopen. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(1); *see also Fernandez v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 592, 599 (9th Cir. 2006).

Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

KB/MOATT 2